

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/28/2003	Hiroshi Kaburagi	CFA00001US	6858
07/28/2004		EXAMI	INER
•		NGUYEN, AN	NTHONY H
		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		07/28/2004 y Department ay	07/28/2004  . NGUYEN, AI Y Department ay ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/650,328	KABURAGI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony H Nguyen	2854
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	ugust 2003.	
,—	s action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected or b)□ objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati nity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/650,328 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6-7,9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uchida

et al. (US 5,640,253).

With respect to claims 1, 10 and 15, Uchida et al. teaches an image output method or a computer executable program and an image processing apparatus having an input unit 101 for inputting image information, a generating unit 207 which includes circuits 301-303 for generating output limitation information corresponding the image information, a storing unit 103 for storing the image information, a reading unit 104 for reading a document which contains the output limitation information, and an output unit 107 for outputting the image information as shown in Fig. 1 (see col.5 line 50 - col.6 line 57). With respect to claims 6 and 7, Uchida et al. teaches the output limitation information which includes output allowance as shown in Figs. 10A-10C.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2854

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5,8,14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Uchida et al. (US 5,640,253) in view of Matsunoshita (US 6,512,915).

With respect to claim 5, Uchida et al. teaches all that is claimed, except the connector which is arranged to connect the image processing apparatus to a network. Matsunoshita teaches the outputting method and apparatus which includes a connector 14 that connects to a network 10 as shown in Fig.1. In view of the teaching of Matsunoshita, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus of Uchida et al. by providing the connector as taught by Matsunoshita to improve the efficiency of transferring images from a data base or a serve to a communication network. With respect to claims 8, 14 and 18, the use of image information from an external source for inputting to the input unit of an image precessing apparatus is well known in the art. For examples, see Uchida et al. col.7 lines 27-30, col.8 lines 3-7 and Matsunoshita, col.5 the third paragraph.

## Conclusion

The patents to Crean, Ishimoto et al., Udagawa et al. and Inoue et al. are cited to show other structures and methods having obvious similarities to the claimed structure and method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2169. The examiner can normally be reached daily from 9 AM to 5PM.

Art Unit: 2854

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld, can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anthony Nguyen

7/20/04

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Sufferny objegen